→ PTO

Appln. No. 10/751,147 Amendment dated October 29, 2004 Reply to Office Action mailed June 29, 2004

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 20 remain in this application. No claims have been cancelled, withdrawn, or added.

Paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Office Action

Claims 1, 7 and 13 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U.s. Patent No. 6,672,212 since it is alleged that the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Submitted with this response is a Terminal Disclaimer (with statement of ownership under 37 CFR §3.73(b)(1)(ii)) and appropriate fee, and it is submitted that the enclosed disclaimer overcomes the various bases of the rejection set forth in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the double patenting rejection of claims 1, 7, and 13 is therefore respectfully requested, and allowance of claims 1 through 20 is believed to be appropriate.

→ PTO

Date: Oct 29, 2004

Appln. No. 10/751,147 Amendment dated October 29, 2004 Reply to Office Action mailed June 29, 2004

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C.

By ///

Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987) LEONARD & PROEHL, Prof. L.L.C. 3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250

Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5807 (605)339-2028 FAX (605)336-1931